## Computer Architecture

### Daniel Page

Department of Computer Science, University Of Bristol, Merchant Venturers Building, Woodland Road, Bristol, BS8 1UB. UK. ⟨csdsp@bristol.ac.uk⟩

September 5, 2025

Keep in mind there are *two* PDFs available (of which this is the latter):

- 1. a PDF of examinable material used as lecture slides, and
- 2. a PDF of non-examinable, extra material:
  - the associated notes page may be pre-populated with extra, written explaination of material covered in lecture(s), plus

    anything with a "grey'ed out" header/footer represents extra material which is
  - useful and/or interesting but out of scope (and hence not covered).

Notes.		
1		
1		
1		
Notes:		

### COMS10015 lecture: week #3

▶ Problem: we have  $\neg$ ,  $\land$ , and  $\lor$ , so given the specification

$x_{n-1}$	• • •	$x_1$	$x_0$	r
0	• • •	0	0	1
0	• • •	0	1	0
0	• • •	1	0	1
0	• • •	1	1	0
:		:	:	:
1	• • •	1	1	0

for some Boolean function

$$r = f(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}),$$

design a Boolean expression *e* which can compute it.

► Solution: ?

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac.uk)	
Computer Architecture	



### COMS10015 lecture: week #3

- ▶ Agenda: combinatorial logic design, where, crucially,
  - the output is a function of the input only,computation is viewed as being continuous,

via coverage of

- special-purpose design patterns,
   special-purpose building blocks, and
- 3. general-purpose derivation.

Notes:	

Notes:			

## Part 1: special-purpose design patterns

### ▶ Pattern #1: decomposition.

► Any *n*-input, *m*-output Boolean function

$$f: \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{B}^m$$

can be rewritten as *m separate n-*input, 1-output Boolean functions, say

$$f_{0} : \mathbb{B}^{n} \to \mathbb{B}$$

$$f_{1} : \mathbb{B}^{n} \to \mathbb{B}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_{m-1} : \mathbb{B}^{n} \to \mathbb{B}$$

As such, we have

$$f(x) \equiv f_0(x) \parallel f_1(x) \parallel \ldots \parallel f_{m-1}(x).$$





git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-

### Part 1: special-purpose design patterns

## ▶ Pattern #2: sharing.

- ▶ Imagine, for example, that we are given a 2-input, 1-bit AND gate.
- ► If, within some larger circuit, we compute

$$r = x \wedge y$$

and then, somewhere else,

$$r'=x\wedge y$$

then we can replace the two AND gates with one: clearly

$$r=r'$$
,

so we can share one definition between two usage points.

Notes:	

Notes:	



### Part 1: special-purpose design patterns

- ► Pattern #3: independent replication.
  - ▶ Imagine, for example, that we are given a 2-input, 1-bit AND gate.
  - ▶ A 2-input, *m*-bit AND gate is simply replication of 2-input, 1-bit AND gates, i.e.,

$$r = x \wedge y$$

is computed via

$$r_i = x_i \wedge y_i$$

for  $0 \le i < m$ ,

• for n = 4, as an example, this means

$$r_0 = x_0 \wedge y_0$$
  
 $r_1 = x_1 \wedge y_1$   
 $r_2 = x_2 \wedge y_2$   
 $r_3 = x_3 \wedge y_3$ 

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac.ul Computer Architecture



git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-0

### Part 1: special-purpose design patterns

- ► Pattern #4: dependent replication.
  - ▶ Imagine, for example, that we are given a 2-input, 1-bit AND gate.
  - An *n*-input, 1-bit AND gate is simply replication of 2-input, 1-bit AND gates, i.e.,

$$r = \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i$$

is computed via

$$r = x_0 \wedge (x_1 \wedge \cdots (x_{n-1})),$$

• for n = 4, as an example, this means

$$r = x_0 \wedge (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge (x_3))$$
  
=  $x_0 \wedge x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3$   
=  $(x_0 \wedge x_1) \wedge (x_2 \wedge x_3)$ 

Notes:	



## Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (1)

- ► Concept: the following building blocks can support most forms of choice
  - 1. a multiplexer
    - has *m* inputs,
    - has 1 output,
    - uses a ( $\lceil \log_2(m) \rceil$ )-bit control signal input to choose which input is connected to the output, while
  - 2. a demultiplexer
    - has 1 input,
    - has *m* outputs,
    - uses a ( $\lceil \log_2(m) \rceil$ )-bit control signal input to choose which output is connected to the input,

### noting that

- the input(s) and output(s) are *n*-bit, but clearly must match up,
- the connection made is continuous, since both components are combinatorial.



## Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (2) Choice


- Concept: by analogy,
  - 1. the C switch statement

```
Listing

1 switch( c ) {
2   case 0 : r = w; break;
3   case 1 : r = x; break;
4   case 2 : r = y; break;
5   case 3 : r = z; break;
6 }
```

acts similarly to a 4-input multiplexer,

2. the C switch statement



acts similarly to a 4-output demultiplexer.



Notes:			

# Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (3)

### Definition

The behaviour of a 2-input, 1-bit multiplexer component




is described by the truth table

С	х	у	r
0	0	?	0
0	1	?	1
1	?	0	0
1	?	1	1

which can be used to derive the following implementation:

$$r = (\neg c \wedge x) \vee (c \wedge y)$$







git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03

Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (4)

### Definition

The behaviour of a 2-output, 1-bit demultiplexer component




is described by the truth table

С	х	$r_1$	$r_0$
0	0	?	0
0	1	?	1
1	0	0	?
1	1	1	?

which can be used to derive the following implementation:

$$r_0 = \neg c \wedge x$$
  
 $r_1 = c \wedge x$ 


### Circuit





Notes:
--------

## An Aside: application of design patterns




© Daniel Page ( supplier stot. a.c., ub)

Computer Architecture

© Daniel Page ( supplier stot. a.c., ub)

Expression of the supplier supp

## An Aside: application of design patterns







## Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (7) Addition

- ▶ Concept: the following building blocks can support most forms of arithmetic
  - 1. a half-adder
    - has 2 inputs: x and y,
    - computes the 2-bit result x + y,
    - has 2 outputs: a sum s, and a carry-out co (which are the LSB and MSB of result),

while


- 2. a full-adder
  - has 3 inputs: *x* and *y* plus a carry-in *ci*,
  - ightharpoonup computes the 2-bit result x + y + ci,
  - has  $\frac{1}{2}$  outputs: a sum s, and a carry-out co (which are the LSB and MSB of result),

where all inputs and outputs are 1-bit.



# Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (8) Addition

Definition	Circuit
The behaviour of a <b>half-adder</b> component	$\mathfrak{F}$
is described by the truth table $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	со
which can be used to derive the following implementation:	







# Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (9)






is described by the truth table

ci	х	у	со	S
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	1	0	0	1
0	1	1	1	0
1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	0
1	1	0	1	0
1	1	1	1	1

which can be used to derive the following implementation:

 $\begin{array}{lll} co & = & (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge ci) \vee (y \wedge ci) \\ & = & (x \wedge y) \vee ((x \oplus y) \wedge ci) \end{array}$ 

 $s = x \oplus y \oplus ci$ 




© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac.uk)

University of BRISTOL

git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03

Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (10)





Notes:			

# Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (11) Comparison

- ▶ Concept: the following building blocks can support most forms of comparison
  - 1. an equality comparator

    - has 2 inputs *x* and *y*, computes the 1 output as

$$r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

while

- 2. a less-than comparator

  - has 2 inputs *x* and *y*, computes the 1 output as

$$r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where all inputs and outputs are 1-bit.

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac	
Computer Architecture	



Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (12) Comparison

Definition					
The behaviour of an e	quali	ty c	omp	arator component	
	- x - y		r -		
is described by the tru	ıth tal	ole			
	х	у	r	]	
	0	0	1		
	0	1	0		
	1	1	1		
which can be used to derive the following implementation:					
$r = \neg(x \oplus y)$					





Notes:	

Notes:	



# Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (13) Comparison

### Definition

The behaviour of a **less-than comparator** component




is described by the truth table

x	у	r
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	0
1	1	0

which can be used to derive the following implementation:

$$r = \neg x \wedge y$$








University of BRISTOL

git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03


Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (14) Comparison



N	Notes:	
_		

Notes:			

# Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (15) Comparison




© Daniel Page ( subpristed Lac, al.)

Computer Architecture

© Well University of BRISTOL git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03

Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (16)

▶ Concept: informally, **encoders** and **decoders** can be viewed as *translators*, i.e.,



or, more formally,

- 1. an *n*-to-*m* encoder translates an *n*-bit input into some *m*-bit code word, and
- 2. an *m*-to-*n* decoder translates an *m*-bit code word back into the same *n*-bit output where if only one output (resp. input) is allowed to be 1 at a time, we call it a **one-of-many** encoder (resp. decoder).







# Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (16)

- ► A *general* building block is impossible since it depends on the scheme for encoding/decoding: consider an example such that
  - 1. to encode, take n inputs, say  $x_i$  for  $0 \le i < n$ , and produce a unsigned integer x' that determines which  $x_i = 1$ ,
  - 2. to decode, take x' and set the correct  $x_i = 1$

where for all  $j \neq i$ ,  $x'_i = 0$ .

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac Computer Architecture University of BRISTOL

git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03

Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (17)

### Definition (example encoder)


The example encoder is described by the truth table

<i>x</i> <sub>3</sub>	$x_2$	$x_1$	$x_0$	$x_1'$	$x'_0$
0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	0	1	1

which can be used to derive the following implementation:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x'_0 & = & x_1 \lor x_3 \\ x'_1 & = & x_2 \lor x_3 \end{array}$$

### Circuit (example encoder)



Notes:			

Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (18)


## Definition (example decoder)

The example decoder is described by the truth table

$x'_1$	$x'_0$	<i>x</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	$x_1$	$x_0$
0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	1	1	0	0	0

which can be used to derive the following implementation:

$$x_0 = \neg x'_0 \land \neg x'_1$$
  
 $x_1 = x'_0 \land \neg x'_1$   
 $x_2 = \neg x'_0 \land x'_1$   
 $x_3 = x'_0 \land x'_1$ 







git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03


Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (19)

▶ Problem: if we break the rules and set both  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_2 = 1$ , the encoder fails by producing

$$x'_0 = x_1 \lor x_3 = 1$$
  
 $x'_1 = x_2 \lor x_3 = 1$ 

as the result.

▶ Solution: consider a **priority** encoder, where one input is given priority (or preference) over another.



Notes:			



Part 2: special-purpose building blocks (19)

### Example

Imagine we want to give  $x_j$  priority over each  $x_k$  for j > k, so  $x_2$  over  $x_1$  and  $x_0$  for example:

Γ	х3	$x_2$	$x_1$	$x_0$	$x_1'$	$x'_0$
Г	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0	0	1	?	0	1
	0	1	?	?	1	0
	1	?	?	?	1	1

Now, although potentially  $x_0 = 1$  or  $x_1 = 1$  the output gives priority to  $x_2$ : as long as  $x_2 = 1$  and  $x_3 = 0$ , the output will be  $x'_0 = 0$  and  $x'_1 = 1$  irrespective of  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ .

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac.uk)

Computer Architecture



git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03

Notes:

## Part 3: general-purpose derivation (1) Method #1

### Algorithm

**Input:** A truth table for some Boolean function f, with n inputs and 1 output **Output:** A Boolean expression e that implements f

First let  $I_j$  denote the *j*-th input for  $0 \le j < n$  and O denote the single output:

- 1. Find a set T such that  $i \in T$  iff. O = 1 in the i-th row of the truth table.
- 2. For each  $i \in T$ , form a term  $t_i$  by AND'ing together all the variables while following two rules:
- 2.1 if  $I_i = 1$  in the *i*-th row, then we use

 $I_j$ 

as is, but

2.2 if  $I_i = 0$  in the *i*-th row, then we use

 $\neg I_i$ .

3. An expression implementing the function is then formed by OR'ing together all the terms, i.e.,

$$e = \bigvee_{i \in T} t_i,$$

which is in SoP form.

	٦
Notes:	

# Part 3: general-purpose derivation (2) Method #1

### Example

Consider the example of deriving an expression for XOR, i.e.,

$$r = f(x, y) = x \oplus y$$
,

a function described by the following truth table:

	f	
х	у	r
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

University of BRISTOL

# Part 3: general-purpose derivation (2) Method #1

### Example

Consider the example of deriving an expression for XOR, i.e.,

$$r = f(x, y) = x \oplus y,$$

a function described by the following truth table:

	f			
x	у	r		
0	0	0		
0	1	1	$\sim$	i = 1
1	0	1	~>	i = 2
1	1	0		

Following the algorithm produces:

- 1. Looking at the truth table, it is clear there are
- n = 2 inputs that we denote  $I_0 = x$  and  $I_1 = y$ , and one output that we denote O = r.

Clearly  $T = \{1, 2\}$  since O = 1 in rows 1 and 2, while O = 0 in rows 0 and 3.

Notes:

Notes:			

# Part 3: general-purpose derivation (2) Method #1

### Example

Consider the example of deriving an expression for XOR, i.e.,

$$r = f(x, y) = x \oplus y$$
,

a function described by the following truth table:

	f			
x	у	r		
0	0	0		
0	1	1	$\sim$	$t_1 = \neg x \wedge y$
1	0	1	$\sim$	$t_2 = x \wedge \neg y$
1	1	0		_

Following the algorithm produces:

- 2. Each term  $t_i$  for  $i \in T = \{1, 2\}$  is formed as follows:
  - For i = 1, we find
    - $I_0 = x = 0$  and so we use  $\neg x$ ,
    - $I_1 = y = 1$  and so we use y
  - and hence form the term  $t_1 = \neg x \land y$ .
  - For i = 2, we find
    - I<sub>0</sub> = x = 1 and so we use x,
    - $I_1 = y = 0$  and so we use  $\neg y$

and hence form the term  $t_2 = x \land \neg y$ .

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac.uk)

University of BRISTOL

git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03

## Part 3: general-purpose derivation (2) Method #1

### Example

Consider the example of deriving an expression for XOR, i.e.,

$$r = f(x, y) = x \oplus y,$$

a function described by the following truth table:

	f			
х	у	r		
0	0	0		
0	1	1	$\sim$	$t_1 = \neg x \wedge y$
1	0	1	$\sim$	$t_2 = x \land \neg y$
1	1	0		

Following the algorithm produces:

3. The expression implementing the function is therefore

$$e = \bigvee_{i \in T} t_i$$

$$= \bigvee_{i \in \{1,2\}} t_i$$

$$= (\neg x \land y) \lor (x \land \neg y)$$


which is in SoP form.

2011	University of BRISTOL
20 MG	University of
کھا"۔	RDICTOL
	DKISTOL

#	b282d	hh9	@2	025-	09-03

fotes:	
fotes:	
iores.	

# Part 3: general-purpose derivation (3) Method #2: Karnaugh map




https://xkcd.com/74

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol. Computer Architecture

University of BRISTOL

Part 3: general-purpose derivation (3) Method #2: Karnaugh map



► Idea:

$$(x \land y) \lor (x \land \neg y) \equiv x \land (y \lor \neg y)$$
 (distribution)  
 $\equiv x \land 1$  (inverse)  
 $\equiv x$  (identity)

	_	
https://xkcd.com/74		
© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac.uk)	查	<b>&amp;</b> Unive
Computer Architecture		a bri

Notes:		
1 VOICS.		
T.V.		
Notes:		

# Part 3: general-purpose derivation (4) Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Algorithm

**Input:** A truth table for some Boolean function f, with n inputs and 1 output **Output:** A Boolean expression e that implements f

1. Draw a rectangular  $(p \times q)$ -element grid, st.

1.1 
$$p \equiv q \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$
, and 1.2  $p \cdot q = 2^n$ 

and each row and column represents one input combination; order rows and columns according to a Gray code.

- 2. Fill the grid elements with the output corresponding to inputs for that row and column.
- 3. Cover rectangular groups of adjacent 1 elements which are of total size  $2^m$  for some m; groups can "wrap around" edges of the grid and overlap.
- 4. Translate each group into one term of an SoP form Boolean expression e where
- 4.1 bigger groups, and
- 4.2 less groups

mean a simpler expression.

© Daniel Page ( subspikeristol.ac.uk)

Computer Architecture

© Daniel Page ( subspikeristol.ac.uk)

E University of git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03

Part 3: general-purpose derivation (5) Method #2: Karnaugh map

xample		
Natural	sequence	Gray code sequence
$\langle 0,0,0,0 \rangle$	$\mapsto$ 0 <sub>(10)</sub>	$\langle 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle  \mapsto  0_{(10)}$
$\langle 1, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$ $\langle 0, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$	$\mapsto$ 1 <sub>(10)</sub> $\mapsto$ 2 <sub>(10)</sub>	$\langle 1,0,0,0 \rangle \mapsto 1_{(10)}$ $\langle 1,1,0,0 \rangle \mapsto 3_{(10)}$
(0,1,0,0) (1,1,0,0)	$ \mapsto 2_{(10)} \\ \mapsto 3_{(10)} $	$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle & \mapsto & 3_{(10)} \\ \langle 0, 1, 0, 0 \rangle & \mapsto & 2_{(10)} \end{array}$
(0,0,1,0)	$\mapsto$ $4_{(10)}$	$\langle 0, 1, \frac{1}{1}, 0 \rangle \mapsto 6_{(10)}$
$\langle 1, 0, 1, 0 \rangle$	$\mapsto$ 5 <sub>(10)</sub>	$\langle 0, 0, 1, 0 \rangle \mapsto 4_{(10)}$
$\langle 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle$	$\mapsto$ 6 <sub>(10)</sub>	$\langle 1, 0, 1, 0 \rangle \mapsto 5_{(10)}$
$\langle 1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle$	$\mapsto$ 7 <sub>(10)</sub>	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle \mapsto 7_{(10)}$
	:	:
	:	:

Notes:	
Notes:	



## Part 3: general-purpose derivation (6) Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example Consider an example 4-input, 1-output function: 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac.uk)

Computer Architecture

University of BRISTOL

git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03


Part 3: general-purpose derivation (6)

Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 4-input, 1-output function:

w	х	у	Z	r
0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	0
1	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0
1	1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1	1



© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac.ul Computer Architecture



sity of TOL

### Notes:


- The first two steps are simple: drawing a grid of an appropriate size then filling it with entries from the truth table are both trivial, even
  though they still demand some care.
- Forming the groups is a little harder, in the sense that their "quality" (i.e., number and size) dictates how optimised the resulting
  expression will be. This example has some non-intuitive cases: the blue group might not be obvious for example, but is within the rules
  (although it is sort of inside-out, it is rectangular and a power-of-two in size).
- The last step is the hardest: we need to translate each group into a term that covers it. Put another way, we want a term that specifies
  just the cells in that group. In a sense, the more variables that exist within a term place more restrictions on which cells we specify; this
  highlights the fact that larger groups therefor contain fewer variables, and are therefore simpler. This example has three groups:
- − The red group spans columns 0 and 1 and rows 0 and 1; provided w = 0 and y = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg y$ . That is, w = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 1 (columns 2 and 3 have w = 1) and y = 0 restricts us to rows 0 and 1 (rows 2 and 3 have y = 1). Note that the values of x and x down that the cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and x.
- The green group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 2; provided w = 1, y = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \wedge y \wedge z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 1 and z = 1 restricts us to row 2 (rows 0, 1 and 3 have at least one of y = 0 or z = 0). Note that the value of x = 0 doesn't matter cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
- The blue group spans columns 0 and 3 and rows 0 and 3; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is ¬x ∧ ¬z. That is, x = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 3 (columns 1 and 2 have x = 1) and z = 0 restricts us to rows 0 and 3 (rows 1 and 2 have z = 1). Note that the values of w and y don't matter. cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w and y don't matter. cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w and y do.

- The first two steps are simple: drawing a grid of an appropriate size then filling it with entries from the truth table are both trivial, even
  though they still demand some care.
- Forming the groups is a little harder, in the sense that their "quality" (i.e., number and size) dictates how optimised the resulting
  expression will be. This example has some non-intuitive cases: the blue group might not be obvious for example, but is within the rules
  (although it is sort of inside-out, it is rectangular and a power-of-two in size).
- The last step is the hardest: we need to translate each group into a term that covers it. Put another way, we want a term that specifies
  just the cells in that group. In a sense, the more variables that exist within a term place more restrictions on which cells we specify; this
  highlights the fact that larger groups therefor contain fewer variables, and are therefore simpler. This example has three groups:
  - The red group spans columns 0 and 1 and rows 0 and 1; provided w = 0 and y = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg y$ . That is, w = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 1 (columns 2 and 3 have w = 1) and y = 0 restricts us to rows 0 and 1 (rows 2 and 3 have y = 1). Note that the value x = 0 restricts us to rows 0 and 1 (rows 2 and 3 have y = 1). Note that the
  - values of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and y.

    The green group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 2; provided w = 1, y = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \wedge y \wedge z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 1 and z = 1 restricts us to row 2 (rows 0, 1 and 3 have at least one of y = 0 or z = 0). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.

    The blue group spans columns 0 and 3 and rows 0 and 3; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \wedge \neg z$ . That is,
  - The blue group spans columns 0 and 3 and rows 0 and 3; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land \neg z$ . That is x = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 3 (columns 1 and 2 have x = 1) and z = 0 restricts us to rows 0 and 3 (rows 1 and 2 have z = 1). Note that the values of w and y don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w and y.

## Part 3: general-purpose derivation (6)



Computer Architecture

University of BRISTOL

git#b282dbb9@2025-09-03


Part 3: general-purpose derivation (6)

Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 4-input, 1-output function:

w	х	у	Z	r
0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	0
1	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0
1	1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1	1



Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expression

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.a



#### Notes:


- The first two steps are simple: drawing a grid of an appropriate size then filling it with entries from the truth table are both trivial, even
  though they still demand some care.
- Forming the groups is a little harder, in the sense that their "quality" (i.e., number and size) dictates how optimised the resulting
  expression will be. This example has some non-intuitive cases: the blue group might not be obvious for example, but is within the rules
  (although it is sort of inside-out, it is rectangular and a power-of-two in size).
- The last step is the hardest: we need to translate each group into a term that covers it. Put another way, we want a term that specifies
  just the cells in that group. In a sense, the more variables that exist within a term place more restrictions on which cells we specify; this
  highlights the fact that larger groups therefor contain fewer variables, and are therefore simpler. This example has three groups:
- − The red group spans columns 0 and 1 and rows 0 and 1; provided w = 0 and y = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg y$ . That is, w = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 1 (columns 2 and 3 have w = 1) and y = 0 restricts us to rows 0 and 1 (rows 2 and 3 have y = 1). Note that the values of x and x down that the cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and x.
- The green group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 2; provided w = 1, y = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \wedge y \wedge z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 1 and z = 1 restricts us to row 2 (rows 0, 1 and 3 have at least one of y = 0 or z = 0). Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
- The blue group spans columns 0 and 3 and rows 0 and 3; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land \neg z$ . That is, x = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 3 (columns 1 and 2 have x = 1) and z = 0 restricts us to rows 0 and 3 (rows 1 and 2 have z = 1). Note that the values of w and y don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w and y.

- The first two steps are simple: drawing a grid of an appropriate size then filling it with entries from the truth table are both trivial, even
  though they still demand some care.
- Forming the groups is a little harder, in the sense that their "quality" (i.e., number and size) dictates how optimised the resulting
  expression will be. This example has some non-intuitive cases: the blue group might not be obvious for example, but is within the rules
  (although it is sort of inside-out, it is rectangular and a power-of-two in size).
- The last step is the hardest: we need to translate each group into a term that covers it. Put another way, we want a term that specifies
  just the cells in that group. In a sense, the more variables that exist within a term place more restrictions on which cells we specify; this
  highlights the fact that larger groups therefor contain fewer variables, and are therefore simpler. This example has three groups:
  - The red group spans columns 0 and 1 and rows 0 and 1; provided w = 0 and y = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg y$ . That is, w = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 1 (columns 2 and 3 have w = 1) and y = 0 restricts us to rows 0 and 1 (rows 2 and 3 have y = 1). Note that the value for y = 0 and y = 0
  - values of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and y.

    The green group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 2; provide w = 1, y = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \land y \land z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to row 2 (rows 0, 1 and 3 have at least one of y = 0 or z = 0). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.

    The blue group spans columns 0 and 3 and rows 0 and 3; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land \neg z$ . That is,
  - The blue group spans columns 0 and 3 and rows 0 and 3; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $-x \land -z$ . That is x = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 3 (columns 1 and 2 have x = 1) and z = 0 restricts us to rows 0 and 3 (rows 1 and 2 have z = 1). Note that the values of w and y don't matter. cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w and y.

## Part 3: general-purpose derivation (6)



University of BRISTOI

© Daniel Page ( sulpphristol ac.ul)

Computer Architecture

© BRISTOI

git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03

## Part 3: general-purpose derivation (7)

Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 3-input, 1-output function:

x	у	Z	r
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	?
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	?

### Notes:

- The first two steps are simple: drawing a grid of an appropriate size then filling it with entries from the truth table are both trivial, even
  though they still demand some care.
- Forming the groups is a little harder, in the sense that their "quality" (i.e., number and size) dictates how optimised the resulting
  expression will be. This example has some non-intuitive cases: the blue group might not be obvious for example, but is within the rules
  (although it is sort of inside-out, it is rectangular and a power-of-two in size).
- The last step is the hardest: we need to translate each group into a term that covers it. Put another way, we want a term that specifies
  just the cells in that group. In a sense, the more variables that exist within a term place more restrictions on which cells we specify; this
  highlights the fact that larger groups therefor contain fewer variables, and are therefore simpler. This example has three groups:
- − The red group spans columns 0 and 1 and rows 0 and 1; provided w = 0 and y = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg y$ . That is, w = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 1 (columns 2 and 3 have w = 1) and y = 0 restricts us to rows 0 and 1 (rows 2 and 3 have y = 1). Note that the values of x and x down that the cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and x.
- The green group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 2; provided w = 1, y = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \wedge y \wedge z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 1 and z = 1 restricts us to row 2 (rows 0, 1 and 3 have at least one of y = 0 or z = 0). Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
- The blue group spans columns 0 and 3 and rows 0 and 3; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land \neg z$ . That is, x = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 3 (columns 1 and 2 have x = 1) and z = 0 restricts us to rows 0 and 3 (rows 1 and 2 have z = 1). Note that the values of w and y don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w and y.

- Note the differing shape of this grid versus the previous example: since there are n = 3 variables, we set p = 2 and q = 4 such that the
  grid contains 2 · 4 = 2<sup>3</sup> = 8 cells.
- Adopting the same approach as the previous example, by not ignoring the don't care entries (i.e., assuming they are 0) we have two
  groups. However, opting to treat one of them as a 1 (which is fine: by definition we don't care what the output is) we only have one:
  - The red group spans column 1 and rows 0 and 1; provided x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land y$ . That is, x = 0 and y = 1 restricts us to column 1 (columns 0, 2 and 3 have at least one of x = 1 or y = 0) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.
- The green group spans columns 1 and 2, in row 0; provided y = 1 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is y ∧ ¬z. That is, y = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have y = 0) and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (row 1 has z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
- The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 0 and 1; provided y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is y That is, y = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have y = 0) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the values of x and z don't matter. cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.

### Part 3: general-purpose derivation (7)

Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 3-input, 1-output function:

х	y	z	r
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	?
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	?



			ئے	r
		. !	j	
	00	01	11	10
0	0	<sub>1</sub> 1	<sub>5</sub> 1	4 0
1	2 0	<sub>3</sub> 1	, ?	?

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac.uk Computer Architecture University of BRISTOL

git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03

## Part 3: general-purpose derivation (7)

Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 3-input, 1-output function:

x	y	Z	r
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	?
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	?



University of BRISTOI



Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expressions

$$r = (\neg x \wedge y)$$

#### Notes:

- Note the differing shape of this grid versus the previous example: since there are n = 3 variables, we set p = 2 and q = 4 such that the
  grid contains 2 · 4 = 2<sup>3</sup> = 8 cells.
- Adopting the same approach as the previous example, by not ignoring the don't care entries (i.e., assuming they are 0) we have two
  groups. However, opting to treat one of them as a 1 (which is fine: by definition we don't care what the output is) we only have one:
  - − The red group spans column 1 and rows 0 and 1; provided x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land y$ . That is, x = 0 and y = 1 restricts us to column 1 (columns 0, 2 and 3 have at least one of x = 1 or y = 0) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.
  - The green group spans columns 1 and 2, in row 0; provided y = 1 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $y \land \neg z$ . That is, y = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have y = 0) and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (row 1 has z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
  - The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 0 and 1; provided y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is y That is, y = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have y = 0) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the values of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.

- Note the differing shape of this grid versus the previous example: since there are n = 3 variables, we set p = 2 and q = 4 such that the
  grid contains 2 · 4 = 2<sup>3</sup> = 8 cells.
- Adopting the same approach as the previous example, by not ignoring the don't care entries (i.e., assuming they are 0) we have two
  groups. However, opting to treat one of them as a 1 (which is fine: by definition we don't care what the output is) we only have one:
  - The red group spans column 1 and rows 0 and 1; provided x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land y$ . That is, x = 0 and y = 1 restricts us to column 1 (columns 0, 2 and 3 have at least one of x = 1 or y = 0) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.
  - The green group spans columns 1 and 2, in row 0; provided y = 1 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $y \land \neg z$ . That is, y = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have y = 0) and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (row 1 has z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
  - The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 0 and 1; provided y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is y That is, y = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have y = 0) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the values of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.

## Part 3: general-purpose derivation (7) Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 3-input, 1-output function:

х	у	z	r
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	?
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	?





Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expressions

$$r = (\neg x \land y) \lor (y \land \neg z)$$

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac.



git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03

## Part 3: general-purpose derivation (7)

Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 3-input, 1-output function:

x	у	Z	r
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	?
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	?





Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expressions

$$r = (\neg x \land y) \lor (y \land \neg z)$$
  $r = y$ 

where effective use of don't care states yields a clear improvement!

Daniel Page ⟨csdsp@bristol.ac.ul Computer Architecture



### Notes:

- Note the differing shape of this grid versus the previous example: since there are n = 3 variables, we set p = 2 and q = 4 such that the grid contains  $2 \cdot 4 = 2^3 = 8$  cells.
- Adopting the same approach as the previous example, by not ignoring the don't care entries (i.e., assuming they are 0) we have two
  groups. However, opting to treat one of them as a 1 (which is fine: by definition we don't care what the output is) we only have one:
  - The red group spans column 1 and rows 0 and 1; provided x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land y$ . That is, x = 0 and y = 1 restricts us to column 1 (columns 0, 2 and 3 have at least one of x = 1 or y = 0) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.
  - The green group spans columns 1 and 2, in row 0; provided y = 1 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $y \land \neg z$ . That is, y = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have y = 0) and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (row 1 has z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
  - The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 0 and 1; provided y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is y That is, y = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have y = 0) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the values of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.

- Note the differing shape of this grid versus the previous example: since there are n = 3 variables, we set p = 2 and q = 4 such that the
  grid contains 2 · 4 = 2<sup>3</sup> = 8 cells.
- Adopting the same approach as the previous example, by not ignoring the don't care entries (i.e., assuming they are 0) we have two
  groups. However, opting to treat one of them as a 1 (which is fine: by definition we don't care what the output is) we only have one:
  - The red group spans column 1 and rows 0 and 1; provided x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land y$ . That is, x = 0 and y = 1 restricts us to column 1 (columns 0, 2 and 3 have at least one of x = 1 or y = 0) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.
  - The green group spans columns 1 and 2, in row 0; provided y = 1 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $y \land \neg z$ . That is, y = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have y = 0) and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (row 1 has z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
  - The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 0 and 1; provided y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is y That is, y = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have y = 0) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the values of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.

### Part 3: general-purpose derivation (8) Method #2: Karnaugh map

nple
der an example 3-input, 1-output function:
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

University of BRISTOL

Part 3: general-purpose derivation (8)

Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 3-input, 1-output function:

x	у	Z	r
0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1



- The red group spans columns 2 and 3, in row 1; provided x = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge z$ . x = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have x = 0) and z = 1 restricts us to row 1 (row 0 has z = 0). Note that the value of y doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of y.
  - group front use after Fegaruses 0 y.

    The green group spans column 3 and rows 0 and 1; provided x = 1 and y = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land \neg y$ . That is, x = 1 and y = 0 restricts us to column 3 (columns 0,1 and 2 have at least one of x = 0 or y = 1) which is all we need because the group spans all rows.

    Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.

    The blue group spans columns 0 and 1, in row 0; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land \neg z$ . x = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 1 (columns 2 and 3 have x = 1) and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (row 1 has z = 1). Note that the value of y doesn't matter: cells in
- the group hold the value 1 regardless of y.

- The red group spans columns 2 and 3, in row 1; provided x = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge z$ . x = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have x = 0) and z = 1 restricts us to row 1 (row 0 has z = 0). Note that the value of y doesn't matter: cells in the
- group hold the value 1 regardless of y.

  The green group spans column 3 and rows 0 and 1; provided x = 1 and y = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land \neg y$ . That is, x = 1 and y = 0 or y = 1) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.
- The blue group spans columns 0 and 1, in row 0; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land \neg z$ . x = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 1 (columns 2 and 3 have x = 1) and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (row 1 has z = 1). Note that the value of y doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of y.

### Part 3: general-purpose derivation (8) Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 3-input, 1-output function:

х	у	Z	r
0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1

Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expression

University of BRISTOL

## Part 3: general-purpose derivation (8)

Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 3-input, 1-output function:

x	y	z	r
0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1



Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expression



- The red group spans columns 2 and 3, in row 1; provided x = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge z$ . x = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have x = 0) and z = 1 restricts us to row 1 (row 0 has z = 0). Note that the value of y doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of y.
- group front use after Fegaruses 0 y.

  The green group spans column 3 and rows 0 and 1; provided x = 1 and y = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land \neg y$ . That is, x = 1 and y = 0 restricts us to column 3 (columns 0,1 and 2 have at least one of x = 0 or y = 1) which is all we need because the group spans all rows.

  Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.

  The blue group spans columns 0 and 1, in row 0; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land \neg z$ . x = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 1 (columns 2 and 3 have x = 1) and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (row 1 has z = 1). Note that the value of y doesn't matter: cells in
- the group hold the value 1 regardless of y.

- The red group spans columns 2 and 3, in row 1; provided x = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge z$ . x = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have x = 0) and z = 1 restricts us to row 1 (row 0 has z = 0). Note that the value of y doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of y.

  The green group spans column 3 and rows 0 and 1; provided x = 1 and y = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land \neg y$ . That is, x = 1
  - and y = 0 restricts us to column 3 (columns 0, 1 and 2 have at least one of x = 0 or y = 1) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.
  - The blue group spans columns 0 and 1, in row 0; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land \neg z$ . x = 0 restricts us to columns 0 and 1 (columns 2 and 3 have x = 1) and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (row 1 has z = 1). Note that the value of y doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of y.

### Part 3: general-purpose derivation (8) Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 3-input, 1-output function:

х	у	z	r	
0	0	0	1	
0	0	1	0	
0	1	0	1	
0	1	1	0	
1	0	0	1	
1	0	1	1	
1	1	0	0	
1	1	1	1	

Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expression

University of BRISTOL

## Part 3: general-purpose derivation (9)

Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 4-input, 2-output function:

w	х	y	z	$r_1$	$r_0$
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	1	?	?
0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	1	0
0	1	1	0	0	0
0	1	1	1	?	?
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	?	?
1	1	0	0	?	?
1	1	0	1	0 0 1 ? 0 1 0 ? 1 0 ? ? ?	1 0 ? 1 0 0 ? 0 0 1 ? ?
1	1	1	0	?	?
1	1	1	1	?	?





- The red group spans columns 2 and 3, in row 1; provided x = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land z$ . x = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have x = 0) and z = 1 restricts us to row 1 (row 0 has z = 0). Note that the value of y doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of y.
- The green group spans column 3 and rows 0 and 1; provided x = 1 and y = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land \neg y$ . That is, x = 1and y = 0 restricts us to column 3 (columns 0, 1 and 2 have at least one of x = 0 or y = 1) which is all we need because the group spans all rows. Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.

  The blue group spans columns 0 and 1, in row 0; provided x = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg x \land \neg z$ . x = 0 restricts us
- to columns 0 and 1 (columns 2 and 3 have x = 1) and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (row 1 has z = 1). Note that the value of y doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of y.

- The red group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 0; provided w=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \land \neg y \land \neg z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of y = 1 or z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
  - The green group spans column 1 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 0, x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land y$ . That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.
  - The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 1 and 2; provided x=1 and z=1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge z$ . That is, x=1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have z=0) and z=1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 3 have z=0). Note that the value of w and y don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w and y.

    The magenta group spans columns 1 and 2 in row 0; provided x=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge \neg y \wedge \neg z$ . That is, x=1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x=0) and y=0 and z=0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one first z=1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have z=0) and z=0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one first z=0). Note that z=0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one first z=0).
- of y = 1 or z = 1) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.
- The yellow group spans column 0 and rows 1 and 2; provided w = 0, x = 0 and x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land z$ . That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.
- The orange group spans columns 2 and 3 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 1 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \land y$ . That is, w=1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w=0) and y=1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y=0). Note that the value of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.


### Part 3: general-purpose derivation (9)


Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 4-input, 2-output function:

w	х	у	z	$r_1$	$r_0$
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	1	?	?
0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	1	0
0 0 0 0 0 0	1	1	0	0	0
0	1	1	1	?	?
1	0	0	0	0 1 ? 0 1 0 ? 1 0 0 ?	1 0 ? 1 0 0 ?
	0	0	1	0	0
1 1	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	?	?
1	1	0	0	?	?
1	1	0	1	? ? ?	0 1 ? ?
1	1	1	0	?	?
1	1	1	1	?	?





University of BRISTOL


### Part 3: general-purpose derivation (9)


Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 4-input, 2-output function:

711	2	1/	~	44.	44.
w	х	у	Z	$r_1$	$r_0$
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	1	?	?
0 0 0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	1 ? 0 1 0 ?	0
0	1	1	0	0	0 0 ?
0	1	1	1		?
1	0	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	?	?
1	1	0	0	?	?
1	1	0	1	?	?
1	1	1	0	1 0 0 ? ? ?	1 ? ? ?
1	1	1	1	?	?





Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expressions

$$r_1 = ( w \land \neg y \land \neg z )$$



- The red group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 0; provided w=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \land \neg y \land \neg z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of
- w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 nave w = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 1 (rows 1, 2 and 3 nave at least one of y = 1 or z = 1). Note that the value of x = 0 doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.

  The green group spans column 1 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 0, x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land y$ . That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to cove 3 column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 frow 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.

  The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 1 and 2; provided x = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land z$ . That is, x = 1 to give the columns 1 and 2 and rows 1 and 2; provided x = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land z$ . That is,
- x = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x = 0) and z = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 3 have z = 0). Note that the
- value of w and y don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w and y.

  The magenta group spans columns 1 and 2 in row 0, provided x = 1, y = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land \neg y \land \neg z$ .

  That is, x = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of y = 1 or z = 1) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.
- The yellow group spans column 0 and rows 1 and 2; provided w=0, x=0 and z=1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land z$ . That is, w=0 and x=0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w=1 or x=1) and y=1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.
- The orange group spans columns 2 and 3 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 1 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \wedge y$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.

- The red group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 0; provided w=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \land \neg y \land \neg z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of y = 1 or z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
- The green group spans column 1 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 0, x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land y$ . That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.
- The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 1 and 2; provided x=1 and z=1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge z$ . That is, x=1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x=0) and z=1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 3 have z=0). Note that the value of w and y don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w and y.

   The magenta group spans columns 1 and 2 in row 0; provided x=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge \neg y \wedge \neg z$ . That is, x=1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x=0) and y=0 and z=0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one
- of y = 1 or z = 1) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.
- The yellow group spans column 0 and rows 1 and 2; provided w = 0, x = 0 and x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land z$ . That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.
- The orange group spans columns 2 and 3 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 1 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \wedge y$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.


### Part 3: general-purpose derivation (9)

Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 4-input, 2-output function:

711	2	1/	~		ν.
w	х	у	Z	$r_1$	$r_0$
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	1 0
	0	1	1	?	?
0	1	0	0	0	
0 0 0	1	0	1	1	1 0
0	1	1	0	0	0
0	1	1	1	?	?
1	0	0	0	0 1 0 ? 1 0 0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	?	?
1	1	0	0	?	?
1	1	0	1	? ? ?	0 1 ? ?
1	1	1	0	?	?
1	1	1	1	?	?



			w			
				ά	1	
	$r_0$	00	01	11	10	
	00	0	1	<sub>5</sub> ?	4 0	
	01	<sub>2</sub> 1	3 0	, ?	6 0	
z	11	?	?	?	?	
	10	<sub>s</sub> 0	9 0	?	1 1	

Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expressions

Computer Architecture

University of BRISTOL


### Part 3: general-purpose derivation (9)


Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 4-input, 2-output function:

w	x	y	z	$r_1$	$r_0$
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	1	0		
0	0	1	1	?	0
0	1	0	0	0	1
0 0 0 0 0	1	0	1	1 ? 0 1 0 ?	0
0	1	1	0	0	0
0	1	1	1	?	0 0 ?
1	0	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	?	?
1	1	0	0	?	?
1	1	0	1	1 0 0 ? ? ?	0 0 1 ? ? ?
1	1	1	0	?	?
1	1	1	1	?	?





Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expressions





- The red group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 0; provided w=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \land \neg y \land \neg z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of
- w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 1 (rows 1, 2 and 3 nave at least one of y = 1 or z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.

  The green group spans column 1 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 0, x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is ¬w ∧ ¬x ∧ y.

  That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 frows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.

  The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 1 and 2; provided x = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, where x = 0. Note that the x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells whe
- x = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x = 0) and z = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 3 have z = 0). Note that the
- The stricts us to Commiss 1 and 2 (commiss 0 and 3 father 4 0) and 2 1 restricts to move 1 and 2 (and 2 0). Note that the value 1 regardless of w and y.

  The magenta group spans columns 1 and 2 in row 0; provided x = 1, y = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land \neg y \land \neg z$ . That is, x = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one).
- of y = 1 or z = 1) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w. The yellow group spans column 0 and rows 1 and 2: provide w = 0, x = 0 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land z$ . That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 in the value of w = 1 or x = -1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.
- The orange group spans columns 2 and 3 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 1 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \wedge y$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.

- The red group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 0; provided w=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \land \neg y \land \neg z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of y = 1 or z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
- The green group spans column 1 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 0, x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land y$ . That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.
- The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 1 and 2; provided x=1 and z=1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge z$ . That is, x=1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x=0) and z=1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 3 have z=0). Note that the value of w and y don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w and y.

   The magenta group spans columns 1 and 2 in row 0; provided x=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge \neg y \wedge \neg z$ . That is, x=1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x=0) and y=0 and z=0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one
- That is, x = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 0 have x = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of y = 1 or z = 1). Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.

  The yellow group spans column 0 and rows 1 and 2; provided w = 0, x = 0 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land z$ . That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.
- The orange group spans columns 2 and 3 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 1 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \wedge y$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.


### Part 3: general-purpose derivation (9)


Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 4-input, 2-output function:

w	х	у	z	$r_1$	$r_0$
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	1 0 ?
	0	1	1	?	?
0	1	0	0	0	
0 0 0 0	1	0	1	1	1 0 0 ?
0	1	1	0	0	0
0	1	1	1	?	?
1	0	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	0	0 1 ?
1 1	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	?	?
1	1	0	0	?	?
1	1	0	1	?	?
1	1	1	0	0 0 1 ? 0 1 0 ? 1 0 ? ? ?	? ? ?
1	1	1	1	?	?





Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expressions

$$r_0 = ( x \land \neg y \land \neg z )$$

@ Daniel Page Computer Architecture University of BRISTOL


### Part 3: general-purpose derivation (9)


Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 4-input, 2-output function:

7/1	2	1/	~		ν.
w	х	у	Z	$r_1$	$r_0$
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	1	?	?
0 0 0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	1 ? 0 1 0 ?	0
0	1	1	0	0	0 0 ?
0	1	1	1	?	
1	0	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	?	?
1	1	0	0	?	?
1	1	0	1	?	?
1	1	1	0	1 0 0 ? ? ?	0 0 1 ? ?
1	1	1	1	?	?





Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expressions



- The red group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 0; provided w=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \land \neg y \land \neg z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of
- w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 1 (rows 1, 2 and 3 nave at least one of y = 1 or z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.

  The green group spans column 1 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 0, x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is ¬w ∧ ¬x ∧ y.

  That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 frows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.

  The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 1 and 2; provided x = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 we specify just those cells, where x = 0. Note that the x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells where x = 0 we specify just those cells whe
- x = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x = 0) and z = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 3 have z = 0). Note that the
- The stricts us to Commiss 1 and 2 (commiss 0 and 3 father 4 0) and 2 1 restricts to move 1 and 2 (and 2 0). Note that the value 1 regardless of w and y.

  The magenta group spans columns 1 and 2 in row 0; provided x = 1, y = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land \neg y \land \neg z$ . That is, x = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one).
- of y = 1 or z = 1) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w. The yellow group spans column 0 and rows 1 and 2: provide w = 0, x = 0 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land z$ . That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 in the value of w = 1 or x = -1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.
- The orange group spans columns 2 and 3 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 1 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \wedge y$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.

- The red group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 0; provided w=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \land \neg y \land \neg z$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of y = 1 or z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
- The green group spans column 1 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 0, x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land y$ . That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of z.
- The blue group spans columns 1 and 2 and rows 1 and 2; provided x=1 and z=1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge z$ . That is, x=1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x=0) and z=1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 3 have z=0). Note that the value of w and y don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w and y.

   The magenta group spans columns 1 and 2 in row 0; provided x=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \wedge \neg y \wedge \neg z$ . That is, x=1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x=0) and y=0 and z=0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one
- In at s, t = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns t and t = 0) and t = 0 restricts us to row (rows t, t and t have at least one of y = 1 or z = 1) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.

  The yellow group spans column 0 and rows 1 and 2; provided w = 0, x = 0 and x = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land z$ .


  That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.
- The orange group spans columns 2 and 3 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 1 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \wedge y$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.


### Part 3: general-purpose derivation (9) Method #2: Karnaugh map

### Example

Consider an example 4-input, 2-output function:

w	х	у	z	$r_1$	$r_0$
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	1 0
0	0	1	1	?	?
0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	1	0
0	1	1	0	0	0
0	1	1	1	?	?
1	0	0	0	1	1 0 0 ? 0 0 0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	?	?
1	1	0	0		?
1	1	0	1	? ? ?	? ? ?
1	1	1	0	?	?
1	1	1	1	?	?





Each group translates into one term of the SoP form expressions



### Conclusions

### ► Take away points:

- 1. There are a *huge* number of challenges, even with (relatively) simple problems, e.g.,
  - how do we describe what the design should do?
  - how do we structure the design?
  - what sort of standard cell library do we use?
  - do we aim for the fewest gates?
  - do we aim for shortest critical path?
  - how do we cope with propagation delay and fan-out?
- 2. The three themes we've covered, i.e.,
  - high-level design patterns,
  - low-level, mechanical derivation and optimisation of Boolean expressions,
  - building-block components,

allows us to address such challenges: in combination, they support development of effective (combinatorial) design and implementation.

In many cases, use of appropriate Electronic Design Automation (EDA) tools can provide (semi-)automatic solutions.





- The red group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 0; provided w=1, y=0 and z=0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \land \neg y \land \neg z$ . That is,
- In the red group spans columns 2 and 3 in row 0, provided w = 1, y = 0 and z = 0 we spectry list those cells, so the expression is w ∧ y ∧ ¬x ≥ . In at is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of y = 1 or z = 1). Note that the value of x doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x.
   The green group spans column 1 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 0, x = 0 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is ¬w ∧ ¬x ∧ y.
   That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = 1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of z doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 rand z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 and rows 1 and 2; provided x = 1 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is x ∧ z. That is, x = 1 restricts us to row 2 and 3 have x = 0.0 Note that the λ.
- x = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x = 0) and z = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 3 have z = 0). Note that the
- The stricts us to Commiss 1 and 2 (commiss 0 and 3 father 4 0) and 2 1 restricts to move 1 and 2 (and 2 0). Note that the value 1 regardless of w and y.

  The magenta group spans columns 1 and 2 in row 0; provided x = 1, y = 0 and z = 0 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $x \land \neg y \land \neg z$ . That is, x = 1 restricts us to columns 1 and 2 (columns 0 and 3 have x = 0) and y = 0 and z = 0 restricts us to row 0 (rows 1, 2 and 3 have at least one).
- of y = 1 or z = 1) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w. The yellow group spans column 0 and rows 1 and 2; provided w = 0, x = 0 and z = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $\neg w \land \neg x \land z$ . That is, w = 0 and x = 0 restricts us to column 0 (columns 1, 2 and 3 have at least one of w = 1 or x = -1) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 1 and 2 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0) Note that the value of w doesn't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of w.
- The orange group spans columns 2 and 3 and rows 2 and 3; provided w = 1 and y = 1 we specify just those cells, so the expression is  $w \wedge y$ . That is, w = 1 restricts us to columns 2 and 3 (columns 0 and 1 have w = 0) and y = 1 restricts us to rows 2 and 3 (rows 0 and 1 have y = 0). Note that the value of x and z don't matter: cells in the group hold the value 1 regardless of x and z.

Notes:

### Additional Reading

- ▶ Wikipedia: Combinational logic. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Combinational\_logic.
- D. Page. "Chapter 2: Basics of digital logic". In: A Practical Introduction to Computer Architecture. 1st ed. Springer, 2009.
- ▶ W. Stallings. "Chapter 11: Digital logic". In: Computer Organisation and Architecture. 9th ed. Prentice Hall, 2013.
- A.S. Tanenbaum and T. Austin. "Section 3.2.2: Combinatorial circuits". In: Structured Computer Organisation. 6th ed. Prentice Hall, 2012.

© Daniel Page (csdsp@bristol.ac.uk)
Computer Architecture



git # b282dbb9 @ 2025-09-03

### References

- [1] Wikipedia: Combinational logic. uRL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Combinational\_logic (see p. 125).
- [2] D. Page. "Chapter 2: Basics of digital logic". In: A Practical Introduction to Computer Architecture. 1st ed. Springer, 2009 (see p. 125).
- [3] W. Stallings. "Chapter 11: Digital logic". In: Computer Organisation and Architecture. 9th ed. Prentice Hall, 2013 (see p. 125).
- [4] A.S. Tanenbaum and T. Austin. "Section 3.2.2: Combinatorial circuits". In: Structured Computer Organisation. 6th ed. Prentice Hall, 2012 (see p. 125).

Note	s:		
Note	ic.		
Note	rs:		
Note	·s:		
Note	·s:		
Note	s:		
Note	rs:		
Note	·s:		
Note	·S:		
Note	s:		
Note	s:		
Note	rs:		
Note	rs:		
Note	S:		
Note	s:		
Note	s:		
Note	rs:		
Note	S:		
Note	s:		
Notee	s:		
Note	s:		
Note	S:		
Notee	S:		
Notee	s:		
Notee	s:		
Note	S:		
Note	S:		
Notee	s:		
Notee	s:		